

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/618,348	10/618,348 07/11/2003		Ntiedo M. Etuk	15703-003001	6691
26211	7590	02/10/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI	CHARDS	ON P.C.	CHENG, JOE H		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3715	
				DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,348	ETUK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joe H. Cheng	3715	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6) In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja     2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This     3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in the	action is non-final.  Ice except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11-16,18-21 and 23-26 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11-16,18-21 and 23-26 is/are 17) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rejected.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received.  have been received in Application  ity documents have been received  (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/618,348 Page 2

Art Unit: 3715

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 17, 2006 has been entered. In addition, in response to the Amendment filed on January 17, 2006, claims 4, 10, 17 and 22 have been cancelled and the claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-16, 18-21 and 23-26 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-16, 18-21 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lotvin et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,178,407 B1) for the reasons set forth in the prior Office action and incorporated herein.

## Conclusion

#### Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's arguments filed on January 17, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant's argument directed to the teaching of *Lotvin et al* does

not teach the second party is a major financial services company that receives, from third parties, information associating the identification of a user with the items that the user purchases. However, it is noted that Figs. 12A-B and 14 of Lotvin et al teaches the child to make a purchase by redeeming points through the purchase subsystem (see column 10, lines 19-36 and Fig. 6) where the purchase subsystem receives the child's selection and initiates and logs the order; and the available products together with their prices in points are organized as lists of items, or can be provided as a virtual shopping mall as known in the art or the purchasing choice can also be presented using an on-line virtual shopping mall as known in the art (see column 13, lines 51-53). Orders must specify the items(s) that are ordered with any required features (size, color, model, catalog number, etc.), the child's name, and the child's address. Also, depending on the choice, coupons for store credit or entertainment events, for example, can be printed at the user's computer. In some situations, electronic goods can be downloaded to the child's computer (see column 13, lines 54-65). Fig. 10 of Lotvin et al teaches that a separate log file associated with each child with the child's participation and performance, including the total number of points accumulated by the child, the child purchase history, and the history of educational presentations participated in by the child (see column 15, lines 16-47). Fig. 12A of Lotvin et al teaches that the Child entity set attributes include name, password, credit limit, point accumulation, and personal preferences (see column 17, lines6-49). The child entity set (20) have one-to-many relationship to the purchase entity set (22), the credit entity set (24) has a one-to-many relationship to the purchase entity set (22), and the product entity set (27) has a one-to-many relationship to the purchase entity set (22) and a many-to many relationship to the vendor entity set (25) (see from column 16, line 8 to column 17, line 49). Fig. 14 of Lotvin et al also teaches the vendor's

computer (920) or the third party content provider's computer (921), and the credit card companies are connecting to the communicating network (see column 18, lines 62-67). Lotvin et al further teaches the use of the information is based on appropriate economic, marketing, technological, legal, security, reliability, and/or performance factors to a particular application (see column 18, lines 39-45). It is clearly that any ordinary in the art should know that the Lotvin et al discloses the claimed limitation of "the second party is a major financial services company receives from the third parties (i.e. merchants or other business that sell items to the public) information associating the identification of the user with the items the user purchases. In addition, applicant's arguments directed to "analyzing the information associating the identity of a user with the purchase to determine the purchasing preferences of the user" by specifying that claims 8, 9, 16 and 21 are used to provide the support, and to rebut the Examiner's indication of applicant is reading the limitation into the claim which is just not there in the prior Office action. After carefully consideration the limitations of "receiving information regarding the user's purchasing desire and adding the information regarding the user's purchasing desires to the purchase history file to create a preference file" (as per claim 8), "the information associating the user with the items the user's purchases is used to provided targeted advertising to the user" (as per claim 9), "user purchasing desires may be accessed by the second party" (as per claim 16), and "the first party adapted to receive information regarding the user's purchasing desires and add the information regarding the user's purchasing desires to the purchase history file to create a preference file" (as per claim 21) as specified by the applicant, the argument is deemed to be moot. These claims are merely discloses to combine the current purchasing information to the purchasing history file and use this purchasing list to target advertising to the user, which cannot

provide any support as analyzing the information to determine the purchasing preference as argued. It is noted that the specification is not the measure of the invention. Therefore, limitations contained therein cannot be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding the prior art. *In re Sporck*, 55 CCPA 743, 386 F.2d 924, 155 USPQ 687 (1968). Hence, applicant's argument is not deemed to be persuasive and the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) is proper and stand. Hence, applicant's argument is not deemed to be persuasive and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) is proper and stand.

5. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

76. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joe H. Cheng whose telephone number is (571)272-4433. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue. - Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica S. Carter can be reached on (571)272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joe H. Cheng

Primary Examiner

Joe H. Cheng February 4, 2006